## SPERRY, REACTION FIBERS

# OBSERVATIONS OF REACTION FIBERS IN LEAVES OF DICOTYLEDONS

## JOHN S. SPERRY

HALE, OLDBANA, AND TOALINDON (1978) have discussed the transference of function between compound leaves and plagotorpic branches in the context of tree architecture. In trees conforming to Code's model (see Halle, Oldeman, & Tomlinson, 1978), plagitorpic branches resemble compound leaves in their determinate growth and their periodic abactission. Conversely, certain trees (grimming) in the Melaccine have compound leaves that behave its plagitorpic branches. The rachits of such a leaf grows indeterminately, sometimes reaching lengths of up to on (Sinta, cited in Halle, Oldeman, & Tomlinson, 1978). The leaves persist on the tree for several years, in some species even forming growth migs in the extensive secondary typlean of the of anothe branchike fastance of these leaves — the presence of rachino wood in the rachits. This pare describes reaction fibers in disordelonnos leaves: and presents speculations on the extent to which their function in leaves is similar to their known function in branches.

Reaction fibers have been extensively studied in the secondary system of roots and shoots and have been found in primary phleen (Scurfield, 1904) and nonvascular tissue (Staff, 1974). There is strong circumstantial evidence that individual needs in the strong circumstantial evidence the strong circumstantial evidence of the strong circumstantial evidence in the strong circumstantial evidence of the characteristic structure of the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the comtention of the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism for the strain (Scurfield 1977) has proposed a mechanism (Scurfield 1977) has proposed

Although the contractile forces involved in secondary orientation are often associated with the presence of reaction fibers, this is not always the case. There are many plant families (e.g., in the order Malvales; Kacera & Britogon, 1977) and the order of the force was never been observed. In their plant, the short core of the force was never been observed. In their short of the short core of the order was never been observed. In their short of the short core of the order was never been observed. In their short of the short core of the order was never been observed. In their Sevenseon (1981) found that pronounced changes in the orientation of woody branches, which are characteristics of certain architectural models, occur in

<sup>©</sup> President and Fellows of Harvard College, 1982. Journal of the Arnold Arboretum 63: 173-185, April, 1982.

many tree species that lack reaction fibers. Other modifications of the secondary sylem and/or secondary phloem that correlated with the observed changes in woody-branch orientation were found in most of these species. Thus, the reaction fiber is only one anatomic feature associated with contractile forces and secondary orientation in plants.

Despite the extensive study of reaction fibers, there is apparently no previous account of their occurrence in discovledonson lesses. Although Paul (1964), writing on gelatinous fibers in root wood, made brief mention of similar fibers in the pectiols of *Cercia* and the lart rackies of *Caragana*. He gave no details of their origin, distribution, or structure. Extra-sylary reaction fibers have been found in the leaves of the Australian monocordyledon Xarathorrhooc australii R. Br. (Xanthorthocaceae) by Staff (1974); this is the only known eccurrence of reaction fibers in monocordyledons.

Reaction fibers in dicotyledomots leaves could be identified because of their structural similarity to reaction fibers in stems of the same plant. Speculations on the function of these fibers in leaves were based on the observed arrangement of the fibers in the leave stars; the assumption was made leave tions presented in this paper indicate that in certain species reaction fibers in leaves appear to function in the same manner as they do in branchs and their presence may be correlated with an over-all branchike appearance and behavior of the leaf, thus, the transference of function between leaf and branch appears to be an antonnic as well as a morphological phenomenon. In the leaves of doer species, however, reaction fibers do not function as branchike structure; a unique function for reaction fibers in these axes is suggested.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The species examined are listed in TABLE 1. Transverse sections were cut by hand at various levels of the axes of firsh leaves, and the sections were stained in phloroglucinol and concentrated HCI. Sections were examined under the microscope in normal and polarized light.

Four species (Rhas replina L., Wateria aimenia's sweet, Cereix conadensis L., and Robinia praeducaciae Li, Dure selected for more detailed study. Winhia a single plant of each species, the reaction fibers in the leaves were compared with hose occurring in a banch and were contraded with fibers in a vertical shoot. Material was fixed in FAA, embedded in partific, and decisional on a retrain was fixed in FAA, embedded in partific, and decisional on a retrain was fixed in FAA, embedded in partific, and fiber and the second of the second fiber and the second s

Xylem reaction fibers were identified by the presence of a so-called gelatinous or S(G) layer in the secondary wall of the fiber, this unique layer can be distinguished from the first (S<sub>1</sub>), second (S<sub>2</sub>), and third (S<sub>2</sub>) layers of normal xylem fibers. The S(G) layer is typically the innermost layer of the

	REACTION FIBERS		
TAXON	Xylem	Phloem	LEAF TYPE
Anacardiaceae			
Rhus typhina L.	+	+	Compound
Juglandaceae			
Juglans cinerea L.	-	-	Compound
Leguminosae			
Caragana arborescens Lam.	-	+	Compound
Cercis canadensis L.	+	+	Simple
Delonix regia Bojer	+	+	Compound
Gleditsia triacanthos L.	+	+	Compound
Inga paterne Harms	+	+	Compound
Robinia pseudoacacia L.	+	+	Compound
Wisteria sinensis Sweet	+	+	Compound
Magnoliaceae			
Liriodendron tulipifera L.	-	-	Simple
Meliaceae			
Azadirachta indica Juss.	-	-	Compound
Carapa guianensis Aublet	+	+	Compound
Cedrela fissilis Vell.	+	2	Compound
Guarea glabra Vahl	+	2	Compound
Swietenia mahagani (L.) Jaca	-		Compound
Oleaceae			
Fraxinus americana L.	-	-	Compound
Rosaceae			
Crataegus sp.	-	-	Simple
Rutaceae			
Phellodendron sp.	-	-	Compound
Simaroubaceae			
Ailanthus altissima (Miller) Swingle	-	-	Compound
Tiliaceae			
Tilia cordata Miller	-	-	Simple
Ulmaceae			
Ulmus americana L.	-	-	Simple

TABLE 1. Taxa investigated.

secondary wall and is either a second (5(G)) or third (3(G)) layer of the wall. The S(G) layer is unitgnifed and has a high cellulos content; thus it is unstained in a phoroglucinol/HC1 stain and stain black in chlorarol black. E. Because cellulos microfibrils in the S(G) layer in waitally, the layer is isotropic or slightly hierfingent when viewed in transverse section under pointed light (Wardon, 1964). The S(G) layer in waitaly, afterent to the adjacent layer, often causing it to pull away in sectioning. A well-devloped S(G) layer may be othick as to sociable the cell lumen.

Phloem reaction fibers were identified by the presence of a secondary wall layer identical in staining, position, adherence, and isotropy to the S(G) layer of xylem reaction fibers. The structural similarity between phloem fibers with this layer and xylem reaction fibers presumably reflects similar contractile

properties and justifies classification of these phloem fibers as reaction fibers. Although the SGO [Jaev was originally used to denote reference to the "gelatinous" layer of the vylem reaction fibers, Staff (1974) has applied the term to analogous layers in extra-vylary fibers in his description of primary reaction fibers in *Xanthornhoea australis*; this precedent will be followed in referring to the SGO [Jaev of Boheem reaction fibers.

# OBSERVATIONS

GREAKL TABLE 1 indicates the diversity of taxa in which xylem and pholem reaction fibers were found in the leaves. Although leaf eraction fibers were generally observed in species with large compound leaves, they were not always found in such species (e.g., *Midimusa altistama (Miller) Swingle)*. The seemingly common occurrence of reaction fibers in leaves of the Meliacea and Leguminosas suggests that, at one level, the presence of leaf reaction fibers correlates more closely with taxonomic grouping than with commonal leaf structure or leaf size.

Within the Meliaceae and Leguminose, however, correlations were observed between leaf via and reaction fiber distribution in the Leff axis. In the Meliaceae the small (ratchi < 23 cm) compound leaves of *Switeenia* whoreas the mode large of chain > 42 cm) compound leaves of *Guminetonia* between the mode large of chain > 42 cm) compound leaves of *Guminetonia* between the mode large of chain > 42 cm. The second leave of *Guminetonia* between the mode with expect to compare large large large large large between the mode with expect to gravity. (This upper visit is not always the same as the morphologically adarda surface of the leaf axis.) Reaction in Arb. (1).

In the Leguminosae both large- and small-leaved species have leaf reaction fibers. The distribution of these fibers in the leaf axis, however, varies ascording to the size of the leaf. The large (rachis) 15-25 cm compound leaves of the tropical species *Declosic* regis to loops and *Intop* partern Harms generally large leaves of the Meliaceae and *Bhar* tophinn. In the smaller-leaved lemperate legaminose, (Winter ai sines), *Carragoa on obvecore* Lam, *Ofendaria is at transmission* of the large reaction fibers with respect to either gravity or the adaxial surface of the leaf axis. Front 18: 1, 8 shows them scattered above and below with respect to gravity in a rachis of Winteria sinesti. In other small-leaved species they were fromd in a wide scenario discusting a right origin certain freeze.

Certain aspects of reaction-fiber distribution in leaves were consistent in all species. Where xylem and photom reaction fibers occurred together, they were always found on the same side of the rachis, with the photom reaction fibers occupying the wider sector (see FIGTRE 1, A, B). Photom reaction fibers were always found throughout the length of the rachis; xylem reaction





0.5 mm B

Focus 1. Reaction-fiber distribution in leaf axes: A. *Rhus replains*, proximal section of rachis, note made to mark upper side prior to occioning: B. Witzeria intensity, proximal section of rachis. AD = adaxial side of axis in these examples corresponding with uppermots side of rachis. AD = adaxial side of axis with respect to gravity), PF = pinhary philoem fibers, PK = philoem reaction fibers, X = xylem, XRF = xylem reaction fibers, LC = latex canal

fibers were seen only in the proximal half. Reaction fibers were absent from the pulvinus in all species examined.

RHUS TYPHINA, WISTERIA SINENSIS, ROBINIA PSEUDOACACIA, CERCIS CANADENSIS, Xylem reaction fibers in stems of these species showed similar distributions.

Inclined or horizontal branches had xylem reaction fibers in thick bands uppermost in the axis. Smaller numbers of reaction fibers were found in the xylem of vertical shoots either in a single unilateral band or in bands that overlap radially in wood of different ages. Fhlocem reaction fibers, although present in the leaves of all four species, were found only in the stems of *Rhus* typhina.

Mylem reaction fibers in the larves and stems of these four species had the same wall structure. Fourns 2–5, 10–13, and 20–23 show the S<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>S<sub>10</sub>(1) layering of sylem reaction fibers in larves and stems. Occasional reaction fibers with an S<sub>2</sub>S<sub>10</sub>(5) structure were usen in both organs. The hieritragence of the S(0) layer varied from slight in the *Rhau* stem (FR/RE 5) and the *Rhomine* latel (FloxtRE 11) to nearly as bright as hat of the S<sub>2</sub> layer in the Visiteria latel (FloxtRE 21). Scurfield and Wardrop (1962) found that in secondary sylem reaction fibers of *Trianation conferint*. Re Is and *Grevillan* and *Jones Jones* 0, so that the present study has the same explanation. The diameter of reaction fibers varies considerably (compare FloxREs 10 and 22) but does not appear to be corolated with hother the fiber is in a last of a stemol rapper to be corolated with hother the fiber is in a last of a stem-

Phicem reaction fibers were primary in origin in these species. Like system reaction fibers, hey had an S., S., S.(Jo) wall structure (Florens 8, 9, 16, 17, 25, 26). The similarity between sylem and phicem reaction fibers is indicated in a comparison of *Robinia* phicem reaction fibers (Florens 16, 17) with Witteria system reaction fibers (Florenz 2)). Although in the four species investigated phicem reaction fibers granterially had larger dimeters than sylem reaction fibers, in some cases (e.g., *Rub* leaf, *Florenz* 2) the distincts were phicem reaction fibers varied somewalt, perhaps related to fiber development. Some of this birefringence could be due to the rearrangement of the S(O) layer during preparation.

FIGHTER ORBANISMON OF REAMANY TREASES INCOME THE SECOND FIGURES PERIODS placem reaction filters have neare been reported from leaves and have only rarely been studied in stems, their occurrence in *Rhun typhina*. Witteria almoter shall be a supplanter, privice privice placem testing the substing of the testing structure of placem reaction. The sub-structure local threads and the same weak hirefringence indicating a common, nearasal microfibri correlation, they are probably limitations of a single 3/(3). The two convoluted, black-taking layers evident in FIGUR 8 are typical. Because both layers have the same weak hirefringence indicating a common, nearasal microfibri correlation, they are probably limitations of a single 3/(3) of a anabis. Although they lack the thick, corrolled SIGI layer of the reaction fibers, hey have a thin, althered, isotropic, black-saming layer (takes).

FIGURES 2–9. Rhus typhina, transverse sections. In each pair of illustrations, left photograph shows section stained in chlorazol black in normal light, right shows unstained section between crossed polarizers. G = S(G) layer of neaction fibers. 2, 3,



xylem reaction fibers in rachis; 4, 5, xylem reaction fibers in stem; 6, 7, nonreaction phloem fibers in rachis (arrow in 6 indicates narrow black layer apparently homologous with S(G) layer in reaction phloem fibers); 8, 9, reaction phloem fibers in rachis.



FRURES 10–19. Robinia pseudoacacia, transverse sections. In each pair of illustrations, left photograph shows section stained in chlorazol black in normal light, right shows unstained section between crossed polarizers. G = S(G) layer of reaction fibers. 10, 11, wylem reaction fibers in rachis; 12, 13, xylem reaction fibers in stem;

row, Ficults 6) that appears from its position and bircfringence to be how mologous with an S(G) layer. This apparent homology is best seen on the side of the rachis where the two fiber types intergrade. An analogus situation in xylem reaction fibers is shown in Ficults 22. The arrow indicates a narrow, black layer that is probably homologous to the thick S(G) layers of adjacent fibers.

In a study of primary phloem reaction fibers in stems of Lagunaria pattersonii G. Don. Scurfield (1964) found that phloem reaction fibers occurring uppermost in inclined branches differed from nonreaction phloem fibers in the underside of the branch only in the greater development within individual reaction fibers of an isotropic, unlignified layer. This situation is identical to that in Rhus typhing. Scurfield interpreted this to indicate that both reaction and nonreaction phloem fibers conform to the same developmental plan: the nonreaction fiber with its narrow isotronic layer represents an immature state. and the reaction fiber with its thickened S(G) layer represents the mature one. Scurfield suggested that the phloem fibers uppermost in an inclined branch at a given level develop more rapidly than the phloem fibers in the underside of the branch at the same level. This hypothesis was supported by the fact that all primary phloem fibers in the older stems of Lagunaria pattersonii are reaction fibers. Since this is also true of old stems in Rhus typhina. Scurfield's explanation of the origin of phloem reaction fibers in Lagunaria pattersonii may also apply to these primary fibers in Rhus typhina.

In the three leguminous species (Cercis canadensis, Robinia pseudoacacia, and Wisteria sinensis) primary phloem reaction fibers were found only in leaves. Within the leaf the relation of reaction to nonreaction fibers was the same as in Rhus typhina: the two fiber types appear to differ only in the degree of development of an S. laver (FIGURES 14-17, 24-26). In the leaf the difference between reaction and nonreaction phloem fibers may also be interpreted in terms of rates of development. Primary phloem fibers in the stem, however, are not reaction fibers and annarently develop differently than those in the leaves. FIGURES 14-19 show the difference between primary phloem fibers in the stem and leaf of Robinia pseudoacacia. The S. laver in the leaf appears to be a G layer of varying thickness that stains black in chlorazol black (E)GURES 14-17), whereas the corresponding layer in the stem is consistently thick and birefringent and stains gray in the same stain (FIG-URES 18, 19). Secondary phloem fibers were only sporadically present in the rather small (1-2 cm in diameter) stems examined and were absent from the leaves of all four species. Secondary phloem fibers do occur in the leaf rachis of some Meliaceae (J. Fisher, pers. comm.).

<sup>14, 15,</sup> nonreaction phloem fibers in rachis; 16, 17, reaction phloem fibers in rachis; (in 17, a – werby bierfrignent S, Jayer, C = moderately bierfrignent S, Jayer, C = weakly and unevenly bierfrignent S(G) Jayer); 18, 19, phloem fibers in stem (in 19, a = S, Jayer, C = S, Jayer). Rost difference in vall structure (especially bierfrignence and form of S, Jayer) between stem phloem fibers in 14–17 and leaf phloem fibers.



Fromus 20–26. Transverse sections. 20–23, Wisteria simensis: 20, 21, xylem reaction fibers in rachis; 22, 23, xylem reaction fibers in stem (in 23, a = very birefringent S<sub>1</sub> layer, b = birefringent S<sub>2</sub> layer, c = weakly birefringent S<sub>4</sub>(G) layer). 24–26, Cercis canadensis, petiole: 24, nonreaction phloem fibers; 25, reaction

#### DISCUSSION

The observation of xylem and philorm reaction fibers in leaves mises the question of how their functions compare in leaves and shoots. In this context observations concerning reaction-fiber distribution in leaves and shoots are relevant. In shoot examined in this study, reaction fibers were found where their contraction could assist either in holding branches at a given position general finding that states means their shorts finction in exoadary orientation. Orientation seems to be the function fibers in some leaves but not in others.

The consistent distribution of leaf reaction fibers uppermost in the rachis of the larger-level species in the Leguminosae and the Melicaeca, as well as in Rhau sphina, argues for an orientation function for leaf reaction fibers in these species; a bunchike distribution of reaction fibers is correlated with the maintenance of the horizontal position of the leaf. Although reaction firebs were absent from the polynamo these species, this are is sufficiently reaching the species of the horizontal position of the leaf of the theory reaction fibers in the next in the horizontal position of the leaf. The rachin A rigid connection with the purrent axis makes it possible for the reaction fibers in the nextise to aid ain the horizontal possitioning of the leaf.

The apparent participation of reaction fibers in the transference of function between leaf and branch in these large compound leaves is especially evident in *Guarae glabra* (Melinceae). In this species the branchlike distribution of considerable secondary growth, indeterminate extension growth, and protograd longevity. It is interesting to note that there are very few reaction fibers in the highly modified, leaflike branches of *Phyllamitus acidus* Skeels and *P*, erandifician L. (Eurobribic-ac-mers, obs.).

In discussing the role of reaction fibers in the transference of function between leaf and branch, it is important to remember that run all branches and large compound leaves have reaction fibers. Reaction fibers were not found in the large leaves of Aliantiva allistimor Julgous contract. L. (TABLE J), and they appear to be absent from several woody plant framilies (Kucera & Philipson, 1977). In plants or parts of oplant framework that lack reaction fibers, there can be other nantomic features that generate the contractile forces required to control secondary orientation (see Fiber & Stevenson, 1981). Reaction fibers represent a branchike modification in leaves only if they are also present in the branches of the same plant.

In addition to assisting in the maintenance of leaf orientation with respect to gravity, reaction fibers in the larger, pinnately compound leaves of *Inge paterne* (Leguminosale) may have consequences for another aspect of leaf positioning. Due to the highly variable orientation of the parent axis, the adaxial surface of the rackis somethan 80 to 108 decrees away

1982]

phloem fibers; 26, nonreaction (NR) and reaction (R) phloem fibers. 20, 22, 24, 25, sections stained in chlorazol black, photographed in normal light; 21, 23, 26, unstained sections between crossed polarizers: G = S(G) layer in reaction fibers.

from its upward-facing surface. Between the rachis base and the first few leaften toole, however, the rachis is frequently tosited so that the advailst and uppermost surfaces nearly coincide. This orientation results in the full and upper leaftence to the sun. Throughout the twisted rachis, reaction fibers occur in the uppermost sector. This naturally suggests that raciaton fibers may aid in manitaning the larf at a given position with respect to gravity. However, the reaction fibers are distributed in a spiral opposite to that of the twist in the rachis. The contraction of reaction fiber distributed in this fabric ion may thus also aid in maintaining the twist. Developmental studies are needed to clarity whether the twist in the rachis is primary and is later rainforced by development of reaction fibers, or if the reaction fibers themselves effect a arointation in the axis.

The relationship between reaction fibers and the orientation of branchlike axes does not seem to apply to the presence of reaction fibers in the smaller leaves of the Leguminosae studied (Wisteria sinensis, Robinia pseudoacacia, Cercis canadensis, Caranga arborescens, Gleditsia triacanthos), Reaction fibers were not only inconsistently distributed with respect to the upper side of horizontally positioned leaves and often positioned on opposite sides of the axis at a given level, but were also found in quantity in leaves that were hanging vertically. This circumstantial evidence suggests that reaction fibers are not influential in leaf orientation; developmental evidence would be necessary to substantiate such a conjecture. Active orientation, although apparently not mediated by reaction fibers, does occur in these leaves, as indicated by the great variation in the angle that different leaf axes make with the stem: it is probably controlled by the flexible, cylindrical pulvini, which lack reaction fibers. Pulvinal action is probably a more responsive mechanism of orientation than reaction-fiber contraction for these medium-sized leaves. The inconsistent distribution of reaction fibers with respect to gravity in these leaves, as well as the absence of any secondary orientation of the leaf axis that can be correlated with reaction-fiber distribution, suggests that their distribution might produce a balanced tension in the slender leaf axis (assuming that the fibers differentiate concurrently), which might help to provide a firm support for the leaf. In contrast to their apparent function in the secondary orientation of larger leaves in both the Leguminosac and the large-leaved species of the Meliaceae, this possible function of leaf reaction fibers in small-leaved leguminous species does not resemble the function of reaction fibers in branches.

The presence of reaction fibers in leaves provides another example of the independent and fibelib relationship between tissues and organs in plans. In large compound leaves, most strikingly those of *Giarra glabra*, distribution of reaction fibers like that Guand in branches accompanies morphological modifications of the leaf into a branchike structure. Conversely, in the small-leveet Lequiminoses studied, the distribution of reaction fibers in the starl axis is not similar to that in branches and may serve a unique function in the context of the morphology of typical leaves. In addition, the entire absence of reaction fibers in the branches and large compound leaves of many species exemplifies the flexible relationship between structure and functioned and the structure and the structure and functions and the structure and the structure and function.

in plants. The control of secondary orientation is evidently related to more than one anatomic structure.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was initiated while 1 was enrolled in the Harvard summer course on tropical plants at the Farchild Tropical Garden, and 1 would like to thank the instructors, P. B. Tomlinson and P. F. Stevens, for advice during al phases of the project. I also thank J. B. Fisher for supplying plant material, and Betsy Burkhardt for help in its preparation. To Dorohy Smith 1 owe much appreciation for her aid in preparing the manuscript.

# LITERATURE CITED

- FISHER, J. B., & J. W. STEVENSON. 1981. Occurrence of reaction wood in branches of dicotyledons and its role in tree architecture. Bot. Gaz. 142: 82–95.
- HALLE, F., R. A. A. OLDEMAN, & P. B. TOMLINSON. 1978. Tropical trees and forests: an architectural analysis. 441 pp. Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- KUCERA, L. J., & W. R. PHILIPSON. 1977. Occurrence of reaction wood in some primitive dicotyledonous species. New Zealand J. Bot. 15: 649-654.
- PATEL, R. N. 1964. On the occurrence of gelatinous fibers with special reference to root wood. J. Inst. Wood. Sci. 12: 67–80.
- ROBARDS, A. W., & M. J. PURVIS, 1964. Chlorazol black E as a stain for tension wood. Stain Technol. 39: 309-315.
- SCURFIELD, G. 1964. The nature of reaction wood IX. Anomalous causes of reaction anatomy. Austral. J. Bot. 12: 173–184.

& A. B. WARDROP. 1962. The nature of reaction wood VI. The reaction anatomy of seedlings of woody perennials. Austral. J. Bot. 10: 93-105.

- SKUTCH, A. F. 1946. A compound leaf with annual increments of growth. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 73: 542–549.
- STAFF, I. A. 1974. The occurrence of reaction fibers in Xanthorrhoea australis R. Br. Protoplasma 82: 61–75.
- WARDROP, A. B. 1964, Reaction anatomy of arborescent anglosperms. Pp. 405–456 in M. H. ZIMMERMANN, ed., The formation of wood in forest trees. Academic Press, New York.

, P. B. TOMLINSON, & M. H. ZIMMERMANN. 1964. Tension wood in aerial roots of *Ficus benjamina* L. Wood Sci. Technol. 2: 95–104.

BIOLOGICAL LABORATORIES HARVARD UNIVERSITY 16 DIVINITY AVENUE CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02138